RESEARCH NOTE

Open Access

Timed relay contact closure controlled system for parallel second dimensions in multi-dimensional liquid chromatography

William Craig Byrdwell*

Abstract

Objective: Short-chain triacylglycerols (TAGs) in lipid extracts of biological samples are not sufficiently resolved using conventional reversed-phase separation on two C18 columns in series, or using a two-dimensional chromato-graphic separation with a silver ion column as the second dimension (²D). An additional dimension of separation was required.

Results: The hardware and software components to allow a second second-dimension (²D) separation and three total separation dimensions were developed. Two contact closure (CC) activated 4-port, 2-position valves (4P2PVs) for ultra-high performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC) were joined together and used for one of two second dimensions in comprehensive two-dimensional liquid chromatography (2D-LC) coupled to four mass spectrometers simultaneously in parallel in an LC1MS2 × (LC1MS1 + LC1MS1) = LC3MS4 configuration. A timed contact closure circuit (TCCC) controlled the two UHPLC valves, operated by repetitive CCs for the 4P2PVs. The TCCC-controlled 4P2PVs were used to direct a portion of the ¹D eluent to one of the two ²D's for separation by a quaternary UHPLC system that was not allowed by the commercial 2D-LC system. The ¹D separation was a non-aqueous reversed-phase HPLC instrument used for separation of TAGs; the commercial 2D-LC ²D binary UHPLC was used for silver-ion chromatography of unsaturated TAGs; and the CC-controlled second ²D was used for separation of short-chain (SC) saturated TAGs.

Keywords: 2D-LC–MS, APCI-MS, ESI-MS, APPI-MS, Contact closure

Introduction

Instruments for comprehensive two-dimensional liquid chromatography (2D-LC) are now routinely available. *Comprehensive* 2D-LC produces a separation on a first-dimension (¹D) column and all or a portion of the effluent is directed to a second-dimension (²D) column, with every peak in the ¹D transferred to the ²D column, in contrast to heart-cutting and other 2D-LC approaches. However, there are limitations inherent in commercially available 2D-LC instruments. For instance, the 2D-LC system was designed for the use of one ²D binary pump ultra high performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC)

*Correspondence: C.Byrdwell@ars.usda.gov

Food Composition and Methods Development Lab, Beltsville Human Nutrition Research Center, USDA, ARS, 10300 Baltimore Ave., Building 161, Beltsville, MD 20705, USA system coupled with the ¹D quaternary pump HPLC (or UHPLC) system. The system could not utilize newer quaternary UHPLC systems for the second dimension, since among other things, the software only allowed two solvent channels to be configured for the ²D in 2D-LC.

More separation options were needed than were commercially available because milk triacylglycerols (TAGs) are very complex and could not be adequately separated using 1D-LC, due to the presence of numerous isobaric isomers. Also, milk TAGs contain a large number of very short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), down to C4, that were not retained well on the conventional C18 columns normally used for TAG analysis. Furthermore, the SCFAs are saturated, so the TAGs that contained them were not separated using the silver-ion UHPLC used as the ²D to separate unsaturated TAGs, especially those containing *trans* double bonds [1, 2].



© The Author(s) 2019. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/ publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

To overcome these limitations, we employed two contact closure (CC) controlled 4-port, 2-position valves (4P2PVs) that were joined together to emulate the eightport switching valve on the commercial 2D-LC system. To automate the CCs, the 4P2PVs were connected to a timed contact closure circuit (TCCC) that provided consistent timed CCs to switch the 4P2PVs uniformly throughout the chromatographic separation. Control of the TCCC was incorporated into the wireless communication contact closure system (WCCCS) that we previously reported [3]. Using this prototype instrument configuration we were able to perform separations employing three LC systems and four mass spectrometers (LC3MS4), in which the ¹D HPLC separation was monitored using electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) and atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) MS in parallel (= LC1MS2), and two ²D UHPLC separations were conducted simultaneously in parallel, with a binary UHPLC using a silver-ion column monitored using atmospheric pressure photoionization (APPI) MS (= LC1MS1) and a quaternary UHPLC using a C8 column monitored using ESI-MS (= LC1MS1). Thus, we report here the hardware and software components necessary to accomplish 2D-LC having two parallel second dimensions, with detection by four mass spectrometers simultaneously in parallel, plus 7 other detectors, for LC1MS2 \times (LC1MS1 + LC1MS1) = LC3MS4. This new experimental arrangement provides a new tool to allow us to conduct method development for complex lipid analysis.

Main Text

Materials and methods

Two Cheminert nanovolume 4P2PVs with microelectronic actuator (#C85U-6674EMT, Valco Instruments Co., Inc., Houston, TX, USA) rated to 20,000 psi (1379 bar) were joined together as shown in Fig. 1a. The microactuators for the two valves were both connected to a 12 V 10A 10-bit DIP-switch-controlled Infitech binary digital power timed delay relay (#BRKR1A411, Infitech, Inc., Syracuse, NY, USA) having a time delay range of 0.1 to 102.3 s as shown in Fig. 1b. The 12 V power required to initiate the on/off recycling of the TCCC was wired through relay #14 of the previously reported WCCCS receiver boards (Ref. 3 Figures 2B, 3). Both WCCCS sender boards were rewired to add individual controls of relays B, C, and D, as shown in Fig. 2. Relay A was already wired through the single switch on the sender board mounting units, to provide a timed relay as a longer start signal. Switch #14 on the switch distribution manifold made the voltage to the TCCC from the WCCCS switchable between Relay B from the Agilent 1200's G1329A autosampler or from the Agilent 1290 Infinity Flex II's Universal Interface Box II (UIB II), either of which was controlled by the relay control timetable in respective versions of OpenLab Chemstation (OLCS) C.01.09 software running on both systems.

Repetitive CCs from the TCCC in Fig. 1b were connected to the microelectric actuator control modules (MACMs) shown in Fig. 1c. The CC connections to the two MACMs were joined together to ensure they activated simultaneously, and connected to the horizontal and one vertical spade terminal on the TCCC, as shown as in Fig. 1b. Because both connections were connected to one of the two vertical terminals, the time set on the DIP switch was one-half of the valve cycle time. The maximum setting of the model of TCCC demonstrated here was 102.3 s, so the TCCC could be used for cycle times up to 204.6 s (3.41 min). ²D separations had run times of 1.91 min (=114.6 s), so the DIP switch was set to 57.3 s, or binary 1000111101.

The same mass spectrometers and other detectors that were used for the previous reports on LC2MS4 were used for the ${}^{1}D$ and ${}^{2}D(1)$ [2, 4]. Detailed descriptions of the instruments and parameters used for comprehensive 2D-LC with quadruple parallel MS $(LC2MS4 = LC1MS2 \times LC1MS2)$ were given previously (see Supplemental Materials to Ref. 4). The Agilent Infinity Flex II quaternary UHPLC has been added since that report, and is used for the ${}^{2}D(2)$ separation. The exact same parameters that were used previously were used for the UV and fluorescence (FLD) detectors on the new UHPLC system [4]. The evaporative light scattering detector (ELSD) (G4261B, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) was moved from the monitoring the ¹D to monitoring the ²D(2). The overall arrangement of all liquid chromatographs, auxiliary detectors, and mass spectrometers is depicted in Fig. 3. The arrangement of branches from the Valco tee flow splitting system previously used [4] was modified slightly to provide flow to the ${}^{2}D(2)$. A 75 µm i.d. \times 2.5 m long piece of fused silica capillary (#160-2644-10, Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) was connected to a Valco union via an adapting sleeve (#F-242X, IDEX Health and Science, LLC, Oak Harbor, WA, USA), with a 0.10 mm i.d. x 10 cm piece of stainless steel tubing on the distal end to attach to the switching valve (seen at the far right in Fig. 1a). The capillary length produced a flow rate of 53.6 µL/min, so that the fill time of the alternating 100 mL sample loops was 1.86 min, with a total run time (= modulation time) of 1.91 min, to exactly match the commercial 2D-LC system. Another Valco tee splitter was added after the ${}^{2}D(2)$ UV detector (Fig. 3), to split flow between the FLD + ELSD and the LCQ Deca XP ion trap mass spectrometer operated in ESI-MS mode.



Fig. 1 Components of the timed contact closure circuit (TCCC) controlled dual switching valve system. **a** two four-port two-position high-pressure valves joined by sample loops; **b** TCCC receives activating voltage from relay #14 in wireless communication contact closure system, with DIP switch set to 57.3 s = 1011110001; **c** two-position actuator control modules; **d** manual valve controllers

Results and discussion

There were several limitations imposed by the OLCS software that had to be worked around to enable the ²D(2) separation that we desired. First, the 2D-LC arrangement of instruments that was commercially available only allowed a binary UHPLC pump to act as the ²D separation. Therefore, the quaternary UHPLC (LC#3) was controlled separately and attached to the 4P2PVs to allow the ²D(2) separation, while the Agilent 1290 binary UHPLC (LC#2) was used for the 2 D(1). Second, to accomplish the same type of shifted gradient that was allowed on the commercial 2D-LC system, each individual sub-gradient had to be manually programmed into the quaternary pump timetable. Unfortunately, the OLCS software has a limit of 100 time steps allowed in gradient timetables. Each subgradient was composed of five time points: (1) start initial isocratic composition, (2) end of isocratic composition and start of gradient, (3) end of gradient and start of hold composition, (4) end of hold composition and start of recycle gradient, and (5) end recycle to next isocratic composition and held until start of next sub-gradient. Therefore, the 100 allowed steps divided by 5 steps per sub-gradient permitted 20 sub-gradients per UHPLC method. Each sub-gradient was set to 1.91 min to exactly match the shifted gradient times from the commercial 2D-LC binary UHPLC. This was not required, but allowed simplified data analysis using identical parameters to those used for the ${}^{2}D(1)$ in the LC x LC software (GC Image, Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA). Nevertheless, the OLCS software allowed only 20 subgradients that were each 1.91 min, for a total method time of 38.2 min. Therefore, two different methods with



 \leq 100 steps each were required to cover the full time used for the $^1\mathrm{D}$ separation.

The previously reported [2, 4] first-dimension nonaqueous reversed-phase (NARP)-HPLC (LC#1) separations of TAGs were shortened to 76.4 min, to exactly match two 38.2 min ²D separation methods on the ²D(2) UHPLC. The 54 min separation of fat-soluble vitamins (FSVs) was eliminated, since natural cow's milk is not fortified and does not contain the early-eluting vitamin D that we have analyzed in other samples. To span the length of the ¹D NARP-HPLC separation, two different methods were programmed into the quaternary UHPLC (LC#3) OLCS control software, and these were joined together into a "sequence". The first method in the sequence used the injection parameter "manual injection", for which the start was triggered using Relay C of the Agilent 1200 HPLC (¹D, LC#1) attached to the WCCCS sender board #1 (wired identically to that shown in Fig. 2). The second method in the sequence used the injection parameter "no injection", which started the second method immediately after the end of the first method. Relay A, attached to WCCCS sender board #1 (wired to the autosampler in LC#1 as shown in Fig. 1a in an earlier report [3] and identical to Fig. 2) started the other detectors and components for the ¹D (LC#1) and ²D(1) (LC#2), while relay A from LC#3 attached to WCCCS sender board #2 started the detectors for the ²D(2). We chose to use a separate relay, Relay C, to start the Agilent 1290 Infinity Flex II (LC#3) from the Agilent 1200 (LC#1) to allow more flexibility, e.g., to start the



 $^{2}\text{D}(2)$ at a different time to analyze only a sub-section of the ^{1}D separation, if desired. Since this report describes the hardware and software components necessary to automate the $^{2}\text{D}(2)$ separation, full details of the complete set of LC gradient parameters will be described in reports of the application of this new system. At the beginning of an experiment, the $^{2}\text{D}(2)$ instrument (LC#3) was started ("Run Sequence") and all four mass spectrometers were started (via various versions of Xcalibur software), and all waited for the contact closure start signal from the 2D-LC Agilent 1200 autosampler (LC#1), via the WCCCS.

Using the reported combination of instruments, WCCCS (with sender boards, receivers, and switchable contact closure distribution manifold), TCCC, and CCcontrolled ultra-high pressure valves, we were able to accomplish the first example of the hardware and software necessary for comprehensive 2D-LC with two parallel second-dimensions [$^{2}D(1)$ and $^{2}D(2)$], for LC1MS2 × (LC1MS1 + LC1MS1) = LC3MS4. This new configuration of instruments and CC control hardware and software allows new types of automated multi-dimensional liquid chromatography for greater separation of complex samples.

Limitations

This work requires a moderate level of mechanical aptitude to accomplish construction of these components. This work requires the availability of three liquid chromatographs and four mass spectrometers.

Abbreviations

¹D: first dimension; ²D: second dimension; 2D-LC: two-dimensional liquid chromatography; 4P2PV(s): 4-port, 2-position valve(s); APCI-MS: atmospheric pressure chemical ionization mass spectrometry; APPI-MS: atmospheric pressure photoionization mass spectrometry; CC(s): contact closure(s); ELSD: evaporative light scattering detector; ESI-MS: electrospray ionization mass spectrometry; FLD: fluorescence detector; FSV(s): fat-soluble vitamin(s); HPLC: high performance liquid chromatography; MACM(s): microelectric actuator control module(s); NARP: non-aqueous reversed-phase; OLCS: OpenLab Chemstation; SCFA(s): short-chain fatty acid(s); TAG(s): triacylglycerol(s); TCCC: timed contact closure controlled circuit; UHPLC: ultra-high performance liquid chromatography; UB: universal interface box; WCCCS: wireless communication contact closure controlled system.

Acknowledgements

Mention or use of specific products or brands does not represent or imply endorsement by the USDA. The help of Mr. Pavel Jakubec in preparation of early experiments is gratefully acknowledged.

Authors' contributions

This work was conceived of and carried out by the reporting author. The author read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by internal funding from the USDA Agricultural Research Service. This research received no specific grant from any funding

agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. USDA internal funding provided support for the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication Not applicable.

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The author declares that there are no competing interests.

Received: 18 June 2019 Accepted: 20 July 2019 Published online: 01 August 2019

References

- Byrdwell WC. Multiple parallel mass spectrometry for liquid chromatography. In: Holčapek M, Byrdwell WC, editors. Handbook of advanced chromatography/mass spectrometry techniques, Chapter 10. Champaign: Elsevier/AOCS Press; 2017. p. 365–405.
- Byrdwell WC. Blue jacaranda seed oil analysed using comprehensive two dimensional liquid chromatography with quadruple parallel mass spectrometry. Chromatogr Today. 2018;591:56–64.
- Byrdwell WC. Construction of a wireless communication contact closure system for liquid chromatography with multiple parallel mass spectrometers and other detectors. J Lab Autom. 2014;19:461–7.
- Byrdwell WC. Comprehensive dual liquid chromatography with quadruple mass spectrometry (LC1MS2 x LC1MS2 = LC2MS4) for analysis of *Parinari Curatellifolia* and other seed oil triacylglycerols. Anal Chem. 2017;89:10537–46.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

